

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/744,678	04/10/2001	Tadayuki Suzuki	0425-0821P	3254
2292	7590 03/28/2003			
	WART KOLASCH &	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	10
	•		DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003	. (6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/744,678

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Suzuki et al

Alton Pryor

Art Unit 1616



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on th cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	or Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 31, 2</u>	002			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance ϵ closed in accordance with the practice under Ex particles.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rete Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 2, 7-9, 13-20, and 27-31	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examiner			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•			
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No			
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea se the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14) 🗆					
 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachme		,			
1) 🗌 No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Petent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1616

Election Requirement

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Numerous methods / compositions.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, a method of treating a plant with a composition comprising a sugar structure or sugar alcohol plus a compound selected from a sugar, plant hormone, an aging inhibitor, aggregating agent and a germicide, fungicide, and preservative is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species (elect a single composition / method for the invention completely defining or naming (A) a specific sugar or specific sugar alcohol, plus completely defining or naming (B) a specific sugar or a specific plant hormone or a specific aggregating or a specific germicide or a specific fungicide or a specific preservative. If Applicant desires additional ingredients, Examiner is requesting that Applicant specifically define or name additional ingredients. If additional ingredients are not specifically defined or named by the Applicant, claims having the additional ingredients will be classified as non-elected claims.) that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CAR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 3

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CAR 1.143).

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton Pryor whose telephone number is (703) 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Primary Examiner, AU TONN. PRYOR
Primary Examiner, AU TON MANUNER

3/26/03